ICMJE DISCLOSURE FORM

Date:	8/8/2022
Your Name:	Bente Malling
Manuscript Title:	MSF reinforces junior doctors' awareness of the necessity to achieve and train clinical leadership
Manuscript Number (if known):	Click or tap here to enter text.

In the interest of transparency, we ask you to disclose all relationships/activities/interests listed below that are related to the content of your manuscript. "Related" means any relation with for-profit or not-for-profit third parties whose interests may be affected by the content of the manuscript. Disclosure represents a commitment to transparency and does not necessarily indicate a bias. If you are in doubt about whether to list a relationship/activity/interest, it is preferable that you do so.

The author's relationships/activities/interests should be defined broadly. For example, if your manuscript pertains to the epidemiology of hypertension, you should declare all relationships with manufacturers of antihypertensive medication, even if that medication is not mentioned in the manuscript.

In item #1 below, report all support for the work reported in this manuscript without time limit. For all other items, the time frame for disclosure is the past 36 months.

		Name all entities with whom you have this relationship or indicate none (add rows as needed)	Specifications/Comments (e.g., if payments were made to you or to your institution)
		Time frame: Since the initial planning of	of the work
1	All support for the present manuscript (e.g., funding, provision of study materials, medical writing, article processing charges, etc.) No time limit for this item.	The study was financially supported from the Central and North Denmark Region from the fund to support development of postgraduate medical education	Click the tab key to add additional rows.
		Time frame: past 36 months	s
2	Grants or contracts from any entity (if not indicated in item #1 above).	None	
3	Royalties or licenses	None None □	

		Name all entities with whom you have this relationship or indicate none (add rows as needed)	Specifications/Comments (e.g., if payments were made to you or to your institution)
4	Consulting fees	□ None Consulting fees from the means described above during planning and completion of study, including writing of article	
5	Payment or honoraria for lectures, presentations, speakers bureaus, manuscript writing or educational events	None	
6	Payment for expert testimony	None	
7	Support for attending meetings and/or travel	None	
8	Patents planned, issued or pending	None	
9	Participation on a Data Safety Monitoring Board or Advisory Board	None None	
10	Leadership or fiduciary role in other board, society, committee or advocacy group, paid or unpaid	None	

		Name all entities with whom you have this relationship or indicate none (add rows as needed)	Specifications/Comments (e.g., if payments were made to you or to your institution)
11	Stock or stock options	None	
12	Receipt of equipment, materials, drugs, medical writing, gifts or other services	[⊠] None	
13	Other financial or non-financial interests	[⊠] None	
	Please place an "X" next to the following statement to indicate your agreement: I certify that I have answered every question and have not altered the wording of any of the questions on this form.		

ICMJE DISCLOSURE FORM

Date:	8/7/2022	
Your Name:	Gitte Eriksen	
Manuscript Title:	MSF reinforces junior doctors' awareness of the necessity to achieve and train clinical leadership	
Manuscript Number (if known):	Click or tap here to enter text.	
content of your manuscript. "Rela affected by the content of the ma	re ask you to disclose all relationships/activities/interests listed below that are related to the lated" means any relation with for-profit or not-for-profit third parties whose interests may be anuscript. Disclosure represents a commitment to transparency and does not necessarily of about whether to list a relationship/activity/interest, it is preferable that you do so.	
The author's relationships/activities/interests should be defined broadly. For example, if your manuscript pertains to the epidemiology of hypertension, you should declare all relationships with manufacturers of antihypertensive medication, even if hat medication is not mentioned in the manuscript.		
In item #1 below, report all supports frame for disclosure is the past 36	ort for the work reported in this manuscript without time limit. For all other items, the time 6 months.	

Name all entities with whom you have this Specifications/Comments (e.g., if payments were relationship or indicate none (add rows as needed) made to you or to your institution) Time frame: Since the initial planning of the work All support for the None present manuscript (e.g., The study was financially supported from the funding, provision Central and North Denmark Region from the fund of study materials, to support development of postgraduate medical medical writing, education article processing charges, etc.) No time limit for this item. Time frame: past 36 months 2 Grants or \boxtimes None contracts from any entity (if not indicated in item #1 above). 3 Royalties or None licenses

		Name all entities with whom you have this relationship or indicate none (add rows as needed)	Specifications/Comments (e.g., if payments were made to you or to your institution)
4	Consulting fees	None None	
5	Payment or honoraria for lectures, presentations, speakers bureaus, manuscript writing or educational events	None	
6	Payment for expert testimony	[⊠] None	
7	Support for attending meetings and/or travel	None	
8	Patents planned, issued or pending	None	
9	Participation on a Data Safety Monitoring Board or Advisory Board	None	
10	Leadership or fiduciary role in other board, society, committee or advocacy group, paid or unpaid	[⊠] None	

		Name all entities with whom you have this relationship or indicate none (add rows as needed)	Specifications/Comments (e.g., if payments were made to you or to your institution)
11	Stock or stock options	None	
12	Receipt of equipment, materials, drugs, medical writing, gifts or other services	[⊠] None	
13	Other financial or non-financial interests	[⊠] None	
	Please place an "X" next to the following statement to indicate your agreement: I certify that I have answered every question and have not altered the wording of any of the questions on this form.		

ICMJE DISCLOSURE FORM

Date:	8/8/2022
Your Name:	Signe Matthiesen
Manuscript Title:	MSF reinforces junior doctors' awareness of the necessity to achieve and train clinical leadership
Manuscript Number (if known):	Click or tap here to enter text.

In the interest of transparency, we ask you to disclose all relationships/activities/interests listed below that are related to the content of your manuscript. "Related" means any relation with for-profit or not-for-profit third parties whose interests may be affected by the content of the manuscript. Disclosure represents a commitment to transparency and does not necessarily indicate a bias. If you are in doubt about whether to list a relationship/activity/interest, it is preferable that you do so.

The author's relationships/activities/interests should be defined broadly. For example, if your manuscript pertains to the epidemiology of hypertension, you should declare all relationships with manufacturers of antihypertensive medication, even if that medication is not mentioned in the manuscript.

In item #1 below, report all support for the work reported in this manuscript without time limit. For all other items, the time frame for disclosure is the past 36 months.

		Name all entities with whom you have this relationship or indicate none (add rows as needed)	Specifications/Comments (e.g., if payments were made to you or to your institution)
		Time frame: Since the initial planning of	of the work
1	All support for the present manuscript (e.g., funding, provision of study materials, medical writing, article processing charges, etc.) No time limit for this item.	The study was financially supported from the Central and North Denmark Region from the fund to support development of postgraduate medical education	Click the tab key to add additional rows.
		Time frame: past 36 months	S
2	Grants or contracts from any entity (if not indicated in item #1 above).	None	
3	Royalties or licenses	None	

		Name all entities with whom you have this relationship or indicate none (add rows as needed)	Specifications/Comments (e.g., if payments were made to you or to your institution)
4	Consulting fees	□ None Consulting fees from the means described above during planning and completion of study, including writing of article	
5	Payment or honoraria for lectures, presentations, speakers bureaus, manuscript writing or educational events	None	
6	Payment for expert testimony	None	
7	Support for attending meetings and/or travel	None	
8	Patents planned, issued or pending	None	
9	Participation on a Data Safety Monitoring Board or Advisory Board	None None	
10	Leadership or fiduciary role in other board, society, committee or advocacy group, paid or unpaid	None	

		Name all entities with whom you have this relationship or indicate none (add rows as needed)	Specifications/Comments (e.g., if payments were made to you or to your institution)
11	Stock or stock options	None	
12	Receipt of equipment, materials, drugs, medical writing, gifts or other services	[⊠] None	
13	Other financial or non-financial interests	[⊠] None	
	Please place an "X" next to the following statement to indicate your agreement: I certify that I have answered every question and have not altered the wording of any of the questions on this form.		

Appendix 1: Development of the leadership-focused MSF questionnaire

Background

Since 2013, a validated model for multi-source feedback (MSF) has been in use in postgraduate medical education (PGME) in all hospitals in the Northern educational region in Denmark [1]. The model covers four of the seven roles of physicians [2]: Communicator, Collaborator, Manager/administrator/organiser and Professional. The increased focus on leadership in medical education and practice has demonstrated the need to refine the MSF model to shed more light on the role of Manager/administrator/organizer, hence the need to revise the questionnaire that is applied late in PGME. The 10 roles of leadership as conceptualised by Mintzberg was used as the theoretical framework for leadership [3].

Methods

The questionnaire was developed with a more extensive focus on leadership by the project group and was based on:

- 1) The definition of the role Manager/administrator/organiser defined by the Danish Health Authorities (SST)
- 2) A literature search
- 3) One-to-one telephone interviews with health care leaders (7 hospital medical directors, 1 hospital director, 4 informants with special knowledge in leadership and 2 directors of PGME)
- 4) Two focus group interviews with 12 heads of departments from 6 hospitals, representing 8 specialties
- Two focus groups with 12 medical specialists with special responsibilities in PGME (consultants responsible for education, postgraduate associate professors in medical education, directors of PGME in hospitals, experienced multi-source feedback facilitators)
- 6) A workshop with 40 junior doctors in specialist training where discussions centered on the leadership tasks they had in their daily practice and how they were trained to manage these tasks.

The one-to-one interviews and the focus group interviews were audio-recorded and transcribed verbatim. During the focus group discussions, a co-moderator made notes and these were used as supplemental material to the transcriptions in the analysis. In the workshop for junior doctors, the output was flip-overs with suggestions of tasks and education. All data were analysed using thematic content analysis, and a list of leadership themes emerged [4]. These themes were clustered into the 12 final overall themes (in alphabetical order):

- Analytical skills
- Contributions to development and quality
- Drive / initiative
- From "me" to "we" (teaming)

- Leadership of special tasks
- Personal competences (e.g., sense of propriety, receptiveness, inspiring confidence, listening, responsibility, engagement, openness, loyalty)
- Psychological safety
- Seeing other's perspectives / putting into perspective
- Seeing the patient's perspective
- Self-management
- Facilitating development
- Understanding one's own position in the organization

From these themes, questions were developed into a questionnaire containing 52 questions/statements that reflected 9 themes. The questionnaire was commented on by experienced feedback facilitators, heads of departments, specialists and junior doctors. After minor revisions due to the comments, a pilot test was conducted with 6 experienced feedback facilitators and 12 junior doctors. All feedback facilitators and 7 out of 12 junior doctors were interviewed (one-to-one, telephone interviews) regarding the relevance and usability of the new questionnaire. Psychometric analysis showed that the questionnaire was reliable and valid (convergent and discriminant).

Following suggestions from the pilot test, the final questionnaire was further developed. The questionnaire has 44 questions/statements divided into 8 themes. The statements are answered on a 7-point Likert scale from 1 = completely disagree to 7 = completely agree. After each theme, respondents can add additional comments on that specific theme. The questionnaire ends with respondents having the possibility to advise on the junior doctors' contribution to the department.

Results

Questionnaire with focus on the role of manager/administrator/organiser		
Role		Q. no.
	Motivation and responsibility	
tor	Shows engagement	1
Professional, collaborator, communicator	Uses own resources	2
Profes collab comm	Takes responsibility for daily work tasks	3
	Knows own limitations	4

	Appears as a good role-model	5
	Relational competence	
	Appears confidence-inspiring	6
	Appears authentic	7
	Has a sense of propriety	8
Professional, communicator, manager/administrator organiser	Enters into dialogue in case of disagreement	9
Professional, communicate manager/administrato organiser	Is curious about other people's perspectives	10
o a a c <u></u>	Makes demands of others when relevant	11
	Leading working tasks	
	Takes on leadership in daily work	12
anisei 	Includes knowledge of colleagues in daily work	13
Manager/administrator/ organiser, collaborator	Constructively enters into collaboration across specialties/departments/sectors	14
ministi 	Prioritises tasks	15
aborator	Delegates tasks to others when appropriate and relevant	16
Manag	Follows up on delegated tasks	17
2 8	Keeps overview under pressure	18
	Decision-making	
	Involves relevant collaborators in decisions	19
ager/ aniser	Makes leadership decisions in daily work	20
r/ org	Turns decisions into actions	21
strator strato inicato	Communicates decisions clearly	22
Collaborator, manager/administrator/ organiser, communicator	Follows up on decisions	23

	Patient involvement and continuity of care	
_	Is receptive to patients' perspectives	25
ssiona	Actively involves the patient in planning of care and treatment	26
Communicator, professional	Takes co-responsibility for continuity of care of the individual patient	27
unicat	Contributes to development and optimisation of continuity of care	28
Comm	Contributes to the creation of continuity of care	29
lar/	Quality and development	
l, scho	Is curious and poses relevant questions to daily practice	30
Collaborator, professional, scholar/researcher /teacher	Addresses critique constructively – in right place and to relevant party	31
	Contributes with suggestions to improvement and development of practice	32
	Actively contributes to implementation of new initiatives	33
	Uses the department's resources with thoughtfulness	34
	Learning environment	
cher,	Takes co-responsibility for the learning environment in the department	35
r/ tea	Contributes to education and training of relevant professions	36
or	Uses opportunities to give feedback	37
Scholar/ researcher/ teacher, communicator	Gives feedback constructively	38
	Collegiality and job satisfaction	
sion	Seeks feedback	39
Profession al, collaborat or	Communicates own doubt and uncertainty	40
	Speaks openly about own errors and near-misses	41

Takes care of own well-being	42
Takes care of colleagues	43
Contributes positively to well-being in the workplace	44

What is this junior doctor's specific contribution to the department?

This doctor should continue to....

This doctor should stop...

This doctor should start to...

Other comments...

References

- 1. Eriksen G. Valideret model for 360 feedback i den lægelige videreuddannelse er gennemførlig og acceptabel. *Ugeskrift for laeger.* 2015;17:654.
- 2. Danish Health and Medicines Authority. *The seven roles of physicians*. 2014. https://www.sst.dk/en/news/2013/~/media/39D3E216BCBF4A9096B286EE44F03691.ashx
- 3. Mintzberg H. Mintzberg on management: Inside our strange world of organizations. 3rd ed. The Free Press; 1989.
- 4. Braun V, Clarke V. Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative research in psychology*. 2006;3(2):77-101.

Appendix 2: Interview guides

Interview guide after 1-2 weeks

- 1. What did you gain overall from completing the new MSF process?
- 2. What has the MSF process given you?
- 3. The MSF process includes several steps:
 - a. a self-assessment
 - b. you receive a report with feedback from all respondents
 - a dialogue with a certified feedback facilitator
 - d. you work out a development plan
- 4. Is there a part of the process that was especially beneficial? Is there anything in particular in the process that you would like to highlight as positive with respect to what you gained from the MSF process?
- 5. Was the content of the feedback dialogue about leadership relevant for where you are now in your leadership development/education?
 - a. If yes can you elaborate?
 - b. If no why not?
- 6. What were the two most important leadership-related themes (areas) that you discussed in the conversation (with the feedback facilitator)?
 - a. How do you plan to work with these themes/areas?
- 7. Did you make a development plan with your feedback facilitator (or afterwards)?
 - a. If yes have you thought about how to use it?
 - i. Would you like to describe the development plan, or what you and your feedback facilitator discussed?
 - ii. Is there anyone at the department who can help/support you?
 - b. If no why didn't you make a development plan?
- 8. Has the process given rise to reflections about management, organization or leadership?
 - a. If yes how?
 - b. If no why not?

Other comments/reflections – is there anything else about which you think: "Why am I not asked about...?"

Interview guide after 12 weeks

- 1. Now it has been 3 months since we last talked. Thinking back, what have you gained from completing the MSF process, and how did you work with the feedback from the feedback dialogue and the feedback report?
- 2. In your opinion, has it made sense to conduct a MSF process with focus on leadership in light of where you are now in your education and thus in your own leadership development?
 - a. If yes would you elaborate?
 - b. If no why not?
- 3. Has the timing of the MSF process been appropriate regarding the time you have left in your PGME i.e., has there been time for you to work with and implement the areas/themes from your feedback?
 - a. Do you find that the MSF process has helped you in terms of taking on a broader leadership role which is part of your transformation to becoming a specialist?
 - i. If so- how?
- 4. When we last talked, you had:
 - a. Worked out a development plan in connection with the feedback dialogue have you been working with your development plan?
 - i. If yes how have you been working with it?
 - ii. If no why not?
 - b. Plans to work out a development plan did you work out a development plan?
 - i. If yes how have you been working with it?
 - ii. If no why not?
 - c. If you had not worked out a development plan, did you work out a development plan after we last talked?
 - i. If yes how have you been working with it?
 - ii. If no why not?
- 5. Do you feel you have achieved the objectives/goals you noted in the development plan?
 - a. If no do you plan to continue to work on it? How?
- 6. Did you experience any barriers/obstacles in regard to achieving your development objectives? (personal, professional etc.)?
- 7. Did you have a formal follow-up dialogue with your supervisor or facilitator (or others) regarding the objectives in your development plan?
 - a. If yes was it useful?

- b. If no have you felt the need to follow up on the development plan?
- 8. Since we last talked, has the process given rise to (further) reflections on management, leadership and organization in your daily practice or in other contexts?
 - a. If yes how?
 - b. If no why not?

Other comments/reflections – is there anything else about which you think: "Why am I not asked about...?"

Appendix 3: Development plan

Development plan	Date:/	PGY1	PGY2 1	Regis
Name:	Department:			
I wi involve seek h from	I want to work with It i important because I will p in the	is or- nt se		
	Side 10 af 10	j	_ Aarhus University I	Hospital