

Instructions

The purpose of this form is to provide readers of your manuscript with information about your other interests that could influence how they receive and understand your work. The form is designed to be completed electronically and stored electronically. It contains programming that allows appropriate data display. Each author should submit a separate form and is responsible for the accuracy and completeness of the submitted information. The form is in four parts.

1. Identifying information.

Enter your full name. If you are NOT the corresponding author please check the box "no" and a space to enter the name of the corresponding author in the space that appears. Provide the requested manuscript information. Double-check the manuscript number and enter it.

2. The work under consideration for publication.

This section asks for information about the work that you have submitted for publication. The time frame for this reporting is that of the work itself, from the initial conception and planning to the present. The requested information is about resources that you received, either directly or indirectly (via your institution), to enable you to complete the work. Checking "No" means that you did the work without receiving any financial support from any third party -- that is, the work was supported by funds from the same institution that pays your salary and that institution did not receive third-party funds with which to pay you. If you or your institution received funds from a third party to support the work, such as a government granting agency, charitable foundation or commercial sponsor, check "Yes". The complete the appropriate boxes to indicate the type of support and whether the payment went to you, or to your institution, or both.

3. Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work.

This section asks about your financial relationships with entities in the bio-medical arena that could be perceived to influence, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work. You should disclose interactions with ANY entity that could be considered broadly relevant to the work. For example, if your article is about testing an epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) antagonist in lung cancer, you should report all associations with entities pursuing diagnostic or therapeutic strategies in cancer in general, not just in the area of EGFR or lung cancer.

Report all sources of revenue paid (or promised to be paid) directly to you or your institution on your behalf over the 36 months prior to submission of the work. This should include all monies from sources with relevance to the submitted work, not just monies from the entity that sponsored the research. Please note that your interactions with the work's sponsor that are outside the submitted work should also be listed here. If there is any question, it is usually better to disclose a relationship than not to do so.

For grants you have received for work outside the submitted work, you should disclose support ONLY from entities that could be perceived to be affected financially by the published work, such as drug companies, or foundations supported by entities that could be perceived to have a financial stake in the outcome. Public funding sources, such as government agencies, charitable foundations or academic institutions, need not be disclosed. For example, if a government agency sponsored a study in which you have been involved and drugs were provided by a pharmaceutical company, you need only list the pharmaceutical company.

Other relationships.

Use this section to report other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work.



Section 1.	Identifying Infor	mation	
1. Given Name (F Kasper	irst Name)	2. Surname (Last Name) Grandahl	3. Effective Date (07-August-2008) 28-March-2012
4. Are you the co	rresponding author?	✓ Yes No	
5. Manuscript Tit High Blood Lead		reational Indoor Shooters Individual and	l Environmental Risk Factors

6. Manuscript Identifying Number (if you know it)

Section 2. The Work Under Consideration for Publication

Did you or your institution at any time receive payment or services from a third party for any aspect of the submitted work (including but not limited to grants, data monitoring board, study design, manuscript preparation, statistical analysis, etc...)?

The Work Under Consideration for Publication								
Туре	No	Money Paid to You	Money to Your Institution*	Name of Entity	Comments**			
1. Grant			\checkmark	The Else & Mogens Wedell-Wedellsborg Foundation	Partial payment of blood lead samples analyzis.	×		
						ADD		
2. Consulting fee or honorarium	\checkmark					×		
						ADD		
3. Support for travel to meetings for the study or other purposes	\checkmark					×		
						ADD		
 Fees for participation in review activities such as data monitoring boards, statistical analysis, end point committees, and the like 	\checkmark					×		
						ADD		
5. Payment for writing or reviewing the manuscript	\checkmark					×		
						ADD		



The Work Under Consideration for Publication						
Туре	No	Money Paid to You	Money to Your Institution*	Name of Entity	Comments**	
 Provision of writing assistance, medicines, equipment, or administrative support 	\checkmark					×
						ADD
7. Other	\checkmark			The Danish Rifle Association (DDS)	The two ranges technical check was performed by the Danish Technological Institute and paid for by the Danish Rifle Association (DDS)	×
						ADD

* This means money that your institution received for your efforts on this study.

** Use this section to provide any needed explanation.

Section 3. Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work.

Place a check in the appropriate boxes in the table to indicate whether you have financial relationships (regardless of amount of compensation) with entities as described in the instructions. Use one line for each entity; add as many lines as you need by clicking the "Add +" box. You should report relationships that were present during the 36 months prior to submission.

Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work							
Type of Relationship (in alphabetical order)	No	Money Paid to You	Money to Your Institution*	Entity	Comments		
1. Board membership	\checkmark					×	
						ADD	
2. Consultancy	\checkmark					×	
						ADD	
3. Employment	\checkmark					×	
						ADD	
4. Expert testimony	\checkmark					×	
						ADD	
5. Grants/grants pending	\checkmark					×	



Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work							
Type of Relationship (in alphabetical order)	No	Money Paid to You	Money to Your Institution*	Entity	Comments		
						ADD	
Payment for lectures including service on speakers bureaus	\checkmark					×	
						ADD	
7. Payment for manuscript preparation	\checkmark					×	
						ADD	
 Patents (planned, pending or issued) 	\checkmark					×	
						ADD	
9. Royalties	\checkmark					×	
						ADD	
10. Payment for development of educational presentations	\checkmark					×	
						ADD	
11. Stock/stock options	\checkmark					×	
						ADD	
 Travel/accommodations/ meeting expenses unrelated to activities listed** 	✓					×	
						ADD	
13. Other (err on the side of full disclosure)	\checkmark					×	
						ADD	

* This means money that your institution received for your efforts.

** For example, if you report a consultancy above there is no need to report travel related to that consultancy on this line.

Section 4. Other relationships

Are there other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work?

✓ No other relationships/conditions/circumstances that present a potential conflict of interest

Yes, the following relationships/conditions/circumstances are present (explain below):



At the time of manuscript acceptance, journals will ask authors to confirm and, if necessary, update their disclosure statements. On occasion, journals may ask authors to disclose further information about reported relationships.

Hide All Table Rows Checked 'No'

C	AV	7 -	
2			

Evaluation and Feedback

Please visit <u>http://www.icmje.org/cgi-bin/feedback</u> to provide feedback on your experience with completing this form.



Instructions

The purpose of this form is to provide readers of your manuscript with information about your other interests that could influence how they receive and understand your work. The form is designed to be completed electronically and stored electronically. It contains programming that allows appropriate data display. Each author should submit a separate form and is responsible for the accuracy and completeness of the submitted information. The form is in four parts.

1. Identifying information.

Enter your full name. If you are NOT the corresponding author please check the box "no" and a space to enter the name of the corresponding author in the space that appears. Provide the requested manuscript information. Double-check the manuscript number and enter it.

2. The work under consideration for publication.

This section asks for information about the work that you have submitted for publication. The time frame for this reporting is that of the work itself, from the initial conception and planning to the present. The requested information is about resources that you received, either directly or indirectly (via your institution), to enable you to complete the work. Checking "No" means that you did the work without receiving any financial support from any third party -- that is, the work was supported by funds from the same institution that pays your salary and that institution did not receive third-party funds with which to pay you. If you or your institution received funds from a third party to support the work, such as a government granting agency, charitable foundation or commercial sponsor, check "Yes". The complete the appropriate boxes to indicate the type of support and whether the payment went to you, or to your institution, or both.

3. Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work.

This section asks about your financial relationships with entities in the bio-medical arena that could be perceived to influence, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work. You should disclose interactions with ANY entity that could be considered broadly relevant to the work. For example, if your article is about testing an epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) antagonist in lung cancer, you should report all associations with entities pursuing diagnostic or therapeutic strategies in cancer in general, not just in the area of EGFR or lung cancer.

Report all sources of revenue paid (or promised to be paid) directly to you or your institution on your behalf over the 36 months prior to submission of the work. This should include all monies from sources with relevance to the submitted work, not just monies from the entity that sponsored the research. Please note that your interactions with the work's sponsor that are outside the submitted work should also be listed here. If there is any question, it is usually better to disclose a relationship than not to do so.

For grants you have received for work outside the submitted work, you should disclose support ONLY from entities that could be perceived to be affected financially by the published work, such as drug companies, or foundations supported by entities that could be perceived to have a financial stake in the outcome. Public funding sources, such as government agencies, charitable foundations or academic institutions, need not be disclosed. For example, if a government agency sponsored a study in which you have been involved and drugs were provided by a pharmaceutical company, you need only list the pharmaceutical company.

Other relationships.

Use this section to report other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work.



Section 1.	Identifying Infor	mation		
 Given Name (Fi Poul Are you the cor 	rst Name) responding author?	2. Surname (Last Name) Suadicani ────Yes ✔ No	Corresponding Author's Na Kasper Grandahl	3. Effective Date (07-August-2008) 29-March-2012
			d Environmental Risk Factor	S.

UFL-03-12-0172

Section 2. The Work Under Consideration for Publication

Did you or your institution at any time receive payment or services from a third party for any aspect of the submitted work (including but not limited to grants, data monitoring board, study design, manuscript preparation, statistical analysis, etc...)?

The Work Under Consideration for Publication								
Туре	No	Money Paid to You	Money to Your Institution*	Name of Entity	Comments**			
1. Grant			\checkmark	The Else & Mogens Wedell-Wedellsborg Foundation	Payed for the analyses of blood lead.	×		
						ADD		
2. Consulting fee or honorarium	\checkmark					×		
						ADD		
3. Support for travel to meetings for the study or other purposes	\checkmark					×		
						ADD		
 Fees for participation in review activities such as data monitoring boards, statistical analysis, end point committees, and the like 	\checkmark					×		
						ADD		
5. Payment for writing or reviewing the manuscript	\checkmark					×		
						ADD		



The Work Under Consideration for Publication						
Туре	No	Money Paid to You	Money to Your Institution*	Name of Entity	Comments**	
 Provision of writing assistance, medicines, equipment, or administrative support 	\checkmark					×
						ADD
7. Other	\checkmark			The Danish Rifle Association (DDS)	The two ranges technical check was performed by the Danish Technological Institute and paid for by DDS.	
						ADD

* This means money that your institution received for your efforts on this study.

** Use this section to provide any needed explanation.

Section 3. Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work.

Place a check in the appropriate boxes in the table to indicate whether you have financial relationships (regardless of amount of compensation) with entities as described in the instructions. Use one line for each entity; add as many lines as you need by clicking the "Add +" box. You should report relationships that were present during the 36 months prior to submission.

Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work							
Type of Relationship (in alphabetical order)	No	Money Paid to You	Money to Your Institution*	Entity	Comments		
1. Board membership	\checkmark					×	
						ADD	
2. Consultancy	\checkmark					×	
						ADD	
3. Employment	\checkmark					×	
						ADD	
4. Expert testimony	\checkmark					×	
						ADD	
5. Grants/grants pending	\checkmark					×	



Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work							
Type of Relationship (in alphabetical order)	No	Money Paid to You	Money to Your Institution*	Entity	Comments		
						ADD	
Payment for lectures including service on speakers bureaus	\checkmark					×	
						ADD	
7. Payment for manuscript preparation	\checkmark					×	
						ADD	
 Patents (planned, pending or issued) 	\checkmark					×	
						ADD	
9. Royalties	\checkmark					×	
						ADD	
10. Payment for development of educational presentations	\checkmark					×	
						ADD	
11. Stock/stock options	\checkmark					×	
						ADD	
 Travel/accommodations/ meeting expenses unrelated to activities listed** 	✓					×	
						ADD	
13. Other (err on the side of full disclosure)	\checkmark					×	
						ADD	

* This means money that your institution received for your efforts.

** For example, if you report a consultancy above there is no need to report travel related to that consultancy on this line.

Section 4. Other relationships

Are there other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work?

✓ No other relationships/conditions/circumstances that present a potential conflict of interest

Yes, the following relationships/conditions/circumstances are present (explain below):



At the time of manuscript acceptance, journals will ask authors to confirm and, if necessary, update their disclosure statements. On occasion, journals may ask authors to disclose further information about reported relationships.

Hide All Table Rows Checked 'No'



Evaluation and Feedback

Please visit <u>http://www.icmje.org/cgi-bin/feedback</u> to provide feedback on your experience with completing this form.



Instructions

The purpose of this form is to provide readers of your manuscript with information about your other interests that could influence how they receive and understand your work. The form is designed to be completed electronically and stored electronically. It contains programming that allows appropriate data display. Each author should submit a separate form and is responsible for the accuracy and completeness of the submitted information. The form is in four parts.

1. Identifying information.

Enter your full name. If you are NOT the corresponding author please check the box "no" and a space to enter the name of the corresponding author in the space that appears. Provide the requested manuscript information. Double-check the manuscript number and enter it.

2. The work under consideration for publication.

This section asks for information about the work that you have submitted for publication. The time frame for this reporting is that of the work itself, from the initial conception and planning to the present. The requested information is about resources that you received, either directly or indirectly (via your institution), to enable you to complete the work. Checking "No" means that you did the work without receiving any financial support from any third party -- that is, the work was supported by funds from the same institution that pays your salary and that institution did not receive third-party funds with which to pay you. If you or your institution received funds from a third party to support the work, such as a government granting agency, charitable foundation or commercial sponsor, check "Yes". The complete the appropriate boxes to indicate the type of support and whether the payment went to you, or to your institution, or both.

3. Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work.

This section asks about your financial relationships with entities in the bio-medical arena that could be perceived to influence, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work. You should disclose interactions with ANY entity that could be considered broadly relevant to the work. For example, if your article is about testing an epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) antagonist in lung cancer, you should report all associations with entities pursuing diagnostic or therapeutic strategies in cancer in general, not just in the area of EGFR or lung cancer.

Report all sources of revenue paid (or promised to be paid) directly to you or your institution on your behalf over the 36 months prior to submission of the work. This should include all monies from sources with relevance to the submitted work, not just monies from the entity that sponsored the research. Please note that your interactions with the work's sponsor that are outside the submitted work should also be listed here. If there is any question, it is usually better to disclose a relationship than not to do so.

For grants you have received for work outside the submitted work, you should disclose support ONLY from entities that could be perceived to be affected financially by the published work, such as drug companies, or foundations supported by entities that could be perceived to have a financial stake in the outcome. Public funding sources, such as government agencies, charitable foundations or academic institutions, need not be disclosed. For example, if a government agency sponsored a study in which you have been involved and drugs were provided by a pharmaceutical company, you need only list the pharmaceutical company.

Other relationships.

Use this section to report other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work.



Section 1.	Identifying Infor	mation		
1. Given Name (Fi Peter	rst Name)	2. Surname (Last Name) Jacobsen		3. Effective Date (07-August-2008) 29-March-2012
4. Are you the cor	responding author?	Yes 🖌 No	Corresponding Author's Na Kasper Grandahl	me
5. Manuscript Title High Blood lead		or Shooters - Individual an	d Environmental Risk Factor	5.
6. Manuscript Ider	ntifving Number (if vou l	(now it)		

UFL-03-12-0172

Section 2. The Work Under Consideration for Publication

Did you or your institution at any time receive payment or services from a third party for any aspect of the submitted work (including but not limited to grants, data monitoring board, study design, manuscript preparation, statistical analysis, etc...)?

The Work Under Consideration for Publication								
Туре	No	Money Paid to You	Money to Your Institution*	Name of Entity	Comments**			
1. Grant			\checkmark	The Else & Mogens Wedell-Wedellsborg Foundation	Payed for the analyses of blood lead.	×		
						ADD		
2. Consulting fee or honorarium	\checkmark					×		
						ADD		
3. Support for travel to meetings for the study or other purposes	\checkmark					×		
						ADD		
 Fees for participation in review activities such as data monitoring boards, statistical analysis, end point committees, and the like 	\checkmark					×		
						ADD		
5. Payment for writing or reviewing the manuscript	\checkmark					×		
						ADD		



The Work Under Consideration for Publication							
Туре	No	Money Paid to You	Money to Your Institution*	Name of Entity	Comments**		
 Provision of writing assistance, medicines, equipment, or administrative support 	\checkmark					×	
						ADD	
7. Other	\checkmark			The Danish Rifle Association (DDS)	The two ranges technical check was performed by the Danish Technological Institute and paid for by DDS.		
						ADD	

* This means money that your institution received for your efforts on this study.

** Use this section to provide any needed explanation.

Section 3. Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work.

Place a check in the appropriate boxes in the table to indicate whether you have financial relationships (regardless of amount of compensation) with entities as described in the instructions. Use one line for each entity; add as many lines as you need by clicking the "Add +" box. You should report relationships that were present during the 36 months prior to submission.

Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work							
Type of Relationship (in alphabetical order)	No	Money Paid to You	Money to Your Institution*	Entity	Comments		
1. Board membership	\checkmark					×	
						ADD	
2. Consultancy	\checkmark					×	
						ADD	
3. Employment	\checkmark					×	
						ADD	
4. Expert testimony	\checkmark					×	
						ADD	
5. Grants/grants pending	\checkmark					×	



Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work								
Type of Relationship (in alphabetical order)	No	Money Paid to You	Money to Your Institution*	Entity	Comments			
						ADD		
Payment for lectures including service on speakers bureaus	\checkmark					×		
						ADD		
7. Payment for manuscript preparation	\checkmark					×		
						ADD		
 Patents (planned, pending or issued) 	\checkmark					×		
						ADD		
9. Royalties	\checkmark					×		
						ADD		
10. Payment for development of educational presentations	\checkmark					×		
						ADD		
11. Stock/stock options	\checkmark					×		
						ADD		
 Travel/accommodations/ meeting expenses unrelated to activities listed** 	✓					×		
						ADD		
13. Other (err on the side of full disclosure)	\checkmark					×		
						ADD		

* This means money that your institution received for your efforts.

** For example, if you report a consultancy above there is no need to report travel related to that consultancy on this line.

Section 4. Other relationships

Are there other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work?

✓ No other relationships/conditions/circumstances that present a potential conflict of interest

Yes, the following relationships/conditions/circumstances are present (explain below):



At the time of manuscript acceptance, journals will ask authors to confirm and, if necessary, update their disclosure statements. On occasion, journals may ask authors to disclose further information about reported relationships.

Hide All Table Rows Checked 'No'



Evaluation and Feedback

Please visit <u>http://www.icmje.org/cgi-bin/feedback</u> to provide feedback on your experience with completing this form.